KU LEUVEN



Two Shades of Opacity Hidden Orders versus Dark Trading

Hans Degryse, Geoffrey Tombeur and Gunther Wuyts

The Development of Securities Markets: Trends, Risks and Policies

Università Bocconi & CONSOB

27 February 2015



Overview

1 Introduction and Motivation

- 2 Literature
 - Why trade opaquely?
 - Hidden Order or Dark Trading?
- **3** What is opaque trading?
- 4 Data
 - Trading Volume
 - Market Characteristics
 - Descriptive statistics
- 5 Hidden Order Trading
- 6 Dark Trading
- 7 Hidden Order versus Dark Trading
- 8 Conclusion

Starting observation 1

Market transparency has increased sharply

- Electronic limit order books
- More real-time quotes and depth
- MiFID and Reg NMS
- Algos, SORTs and low latency

Starting observation 1

Market transparency has increased sharply

- Electronic limit order books
- More real-time quotes and depth
- MiFID and Reg NMS
- Algos, SORTs and low latency

In turn, this increased exposure costs, especially for large orders

- price impact
- predatory traders
- picking-off risk
- competition for order execution

Starting observation 2

As a reaction, several opaque trading alternatives have been developed





Starting observation 2

As a reaction, several opaque trading alternatives have been developed

- ► On lit venues (i.e. exchanges): *hidden* order types
 - Iceberg or reserve orders
 - Completely hidden orders

KU LEUVE

Starting observation 2

As a reaction, several opaque trading alternatives have been developed

- ► On lit venues (i.e. exchanges): *hidden* order types
 - Iceberg or reserve orders
 - Completely hidden orders
- ► Away from the lit market (i.e. off-exchange): *dark trading venues*
 - Dark pools
 - Internalization
 - Negotiated trades

KU LEUVI

Starting observation 2

As a reaction, several opaque trading alternatives have been developed

- ► On lit venues (i.e. exchanges): *hidden* order types
 - Iceberg or reserve orders
 - Completely hidden orders
- ► Away from the lit market (i.e. off-exchange): *dark trading venues*
 - Dark pools
 - Internalization
 - Negotiated trades

KU LEUVI

Fragmentation of trading: lit, dark and hidden order trading



Reference period: Jan-Dec 2014 (Source: Fidessa Fragulator[®])

- ▶ Currently volume in dark venues is between 10.33% and 53.85% depending on index
- About 34% of volume is dark in our sample

Fragmentation of trading: lit, dark and hidden order trading

- De Winne and D'Hondt (2007) and Bessembinder et al. (2009) estimate that around 45% of order volume *submitted* on Euronext is **hidden**
- ► In our sample around 5.35% of volume on lit venues is *executed* against hidden orders

Fragmentation of trading: lit, dark and hidden order trading

- De Winne and D'Hondt (2007) and Bessembinder et al. (2009) estimate that around 45% of order volume *submitted* on Euronext is **hidden**
- ► In our sample around 5.35% of volume on lit venues is *executed* against hidden orders
- Academics and practitioners are aware that both types of opaque trading share similarities

see claims in *e.g.*, Hautsch and Huang (2012), Boulatov and George (2013), Buti and Rindi (2013), Buti et al. (2011) and Foley et al. (2013)

 No research exists that examines the interaction between both types of opaque trading

KU LEUVE

Contributions

Key Question 1

What market conditions drive both types of opaque trading?

- ► Segmentation of trading into visible and opaque trading
- ► Segmentation of opaque trading into hidden order and dark trading
- ► Segmentation of hidden order trading into different lit trading venues

Contributions

Key Question 1

What market conditions drive both types of opaque trading?

- ► Segmentation of trading into visible and opaque trading
- ► Segmentation of opaque trading into hidden order and dark trading
- Segmentation of hidden order trading into different lit trading venues

Key Question 2

Are hidden order trading and dark trading complements or substitutes?

- ► Complements: both opaque trading tools are used alongside
- ► **Substitutes**: traders select one over the other, depending on *e.g.*, personal preferences or market conditions

KU LEU

Relevance

Regulators are increasingly worried about the consequences of rising levels of **dark trading** on market quality

The European Commission proposes a double cap on dark trading in MiFID II, while Canada and Australia only allow non-block dark trades at a price improvement

Empirical research finds that dark trading could indeed harm market quality

- Reduces liquidity (Degryse et al. 2014; Weaver 2014; Nimalendran and Ray 2014), price discovery (Comerton-Forde and Putniņš 2015) or both (Hatheway et al. 2014)
- Dark venues cream-skim uninformed order flow (Zhu 2014)
- ► However, Buti et al. (2011) show a benign effect, while Gresse (2014) finds mixed results

Relevance

Research finds no harmful effect of hidden orders on market quality

See, *e.g.*, Aitken et al. (2001), Moinas (2010), Boulatov and George (2013), Buti and Rindi (2013), Gozluklu (2014), and Bloomfield et al. (2014)

The relation between hidden order trading and dark trading has implications for **regulation**

- If hidden order trading is a substitute for dark trading, regulation aimed at reducing dark trading could bring opaque trading to lit venues
- If not, then such regulation might harm some classes of investors who now use dark venues

q

Preview of results

We distinguish between regular-sized dark trades and block trades

Key Question 1 What **market conditions** drive both types of opaque trading?

- Volume, visible depth, quoted bid-ask spread and the use of smart order routers are market conditions that segment opaque trading into hidden order trading and dark trading
- ► Algorithmic trading negatively affects opaque trading in general
- Traders substitute hidden orders on venues with higher visible depth for hidden orders on venues with lower visible depth

Preview of results

Key Question 2

Are hidden order trading and dark trading complements or substitutes?

- Hidden order trading and dark trading are substitutes
- Dark trading is a better substitute for hidden order trading than the other way around
- The differences between hidden orders and dark orders could make hidden orders poor alternatives to dark orders

KU LEUVI

Why trade opaquely?

- ► The exposure decision: how, where, when and to who should trading intentions be revealed? (Harris 1997)
- ► In electronic markets opaque or non-displayed orders reduce exposure
- Order exposure entails a trade-off



Why trade opaquely?

- ► The exposure decision: how, where, when and to who should trading intentions be revealed? (Harris 1997)
- ► In electronic markets opaque or non-displayed orders reduce exposure
- Order exposure entails a trade-off
 - (+) Attract counterparties
 - (+) Increase execution priority

Why trade opaquely?

- ► The exposure decision: how, where, when and to who should trading intentions be revealed? (Harris 1997)
- ► In electronic markets opaque or non-displayed orders reduce exposure
- Order exposure entails a trade-off
 - (+) Attract counterparties
 - (+) Increase execution priority
 - (-) Induces competitive behavior (Buti and Rindi 2013)
 - (-) Risk of being front-run (Harris 1997; Brunnermeier and Pedersen 2005)
 - (-) Risk of being picked-off (Foucault 1999; Aitken et al. 2001)
 - (-) Increases price impact (Moinas 2010; Bloomfield et al. 2014)



Why trade opaquely?

- ► The exposure decision: how, where, when and to who should trading intentions be revealed? (Harris 1997)
- ► In electronic markets opaque or non-displayed orders reduce exposure
- Order exposure entails a trade-off
 - (+) Attract counterparties
 - (+) Increase execution priority
 - (-) Induces competitive behavior (Buti and Rindi 2013)
 - (-) Risk of being front-run (Harris 1997; Brunnermeier and Pedersen 2005)
 - (-) Risk of being picked-off (Foucault 1999; Aitken et al. 2001)
 - (-) Increases price impact (Moinas 2010; Bloomfield et al. 2014)

Drawbacks of exposure lead to exposure costs

Hidden Order or Dark Trading?

Similarities between hidden orders and dark venue orders

- Reduction in exposure
- Large execution uncertainty
- Liquidity providing



Hidden Order or Dark Trading?

Similarities between hidden orders and dark venue orders

- Reduction in exposure
- Large execution uncertainty
- Liquidity providing

Differences between hidden orders and dark venue orders

- Hidden orders interact with aggressive orders on lit venues, and therefore more easily detectable (less opaque)
- Dark orders only interact with similar dark orders, so other traders need to 'ping' separate dark venues to detect them
- Dark trading is restricted to traders who have access to these venues

KU LEUV

Hidden Order or Dark Trading?

Similarities between hidden orders and dark venue orders

- Reduction in exposure
- Large execution uncertainty
- Liquidity providing

Differences between hidden orders and dark venue orders

- Hidden orders interact with aggressive orders on lit venues, and therefore more easily detectable (less opaque)
- Dark orders only interact with similar dark orders, so other traders need to 'ping' separate dark venues to detect them
- Dark trading is restricted to traders who have access to these venues

Lit market **liquidity/competition** may drive substitution between hidden orders and dark trading

 Patient traders are more likely to substitute limit orders on a lit venue for dark orders when the order book is more liquid (Buti et al. 2014)

Degryse, Tombeur and Wuyts

Two Shades of Opacity

What is opaque trading?

Definition

Opaque trading is all trading volume that is the result of trading against an order that is not visible to the market, i.e. that is not pre-trade transparent

- On lit venues: trading against hidden orders
- ► On dark venues: volume in dark pools , internalizers and the OTC market
- Both types of opaque trading volume are driven by:
- Order routing/submission choice: choice to use a opaque order type
- Order execution probability: probability that an order of opposite sign matches the opaque order
- Hidden orders face a better execution probability when market orders on the opposite side are more aggressive
- ► **Dark** orders face better execution probability when there is an increased dark trading desire on the opposite side (balanced market)

- Thomson Reuters Tick History
 - Intraday transaction records
 - Intraday limit order book updates
 - Both time-stamped to the millisecond
- 27 Dutch large cap stocks
- ► 738 trading days from November 2007 until September 2010
- 4 lit trading venues
 - Euronext, Chi-X, Turquoise, BATS Europe
 - LOB updates
 - Transaction reporting
- ▶ 7 trade reporting facilities
 - Transaction reporting of dark pool trades, internalized orders and over-the-counter (OTC) trades

Trading Volume

4 components of trading volume

- $VisV_{i,t} \in volume against visible part of book on lit venues$
- + *HidV_{i,t}*: \in volume against **hidden** part of book on lit venues
- + $DarkV_{i,t} \in \text{volume on } dark \text{ venues}$
- + $BlockV_{i,t} \in \text{volume of$ **block**trades
- $= TotV_{i,t}$ Total \in volume executed
- ► *i* refers to stocks, *t* is days
- Exclude *blocks* from main analysis:
 - All trades eligible for delayed reporting
 - All trades larger than 1% of ADT (block trades)
- Remove trades outside opening hours
- ▶ Winsorize at 1% level

Market Characteristics

- ► *Volat_{i,t}* is the standard deviation of five-minute midquote returns
- ► *QSpread*_{*i*,*t*,*l*} is the time-weighted quoted bid-ask spread on venue *l*
- VisDepth_{i,t,l} is visible depth on venue l within 50 basis points around the midquote of the consolidated market

DepthAsk(X) =DepthBid(X) =Depth(X) =
$$\begin{split} P_{j}^{Ask} Q_{j}^{Ask} \, \mathbb{1}\{P_{j}^{Ask} < M(1+X)\} \\ P_{j}^{Bid} Q_{j}^{Bid} \, \mathbb{1}\{P_{j}^{Bid} > M(1-X)\} \\ DepthAsk(X) + DepthBid(X) \end{split}$$



Market Characteristics

- ► AT_{i,t,l} is a proxy for algorithmic trading on venue *l* (Hendershott et al. 2011)
- ► SORT_{i,t} is a proxy for the fraction of traders using SORT (Kervel 2014), estimated as

$$S_{i,t,k} = SORT_{i,t}P(x > T)_{i,t,k} + \epsilon_{i,t,k}$$

in a daily trade-by-trade regression with

- $S_{i,t,k}$ a dummy equal to 1 when a trade is "simultaneous"
- P(x > T)_{i,t,k} = exp(<sup>-T_{i,t,k}/<sub>φ_{i,t}</sup>) the probability that an order of size x exceeds quoted depth on the most liquid venue
 </sup></sub>

KU LEUV

Descriptive statistics



$$\% HidV_{i,t,l} = \gamma' \mathbf{X}_{i,t,l} + \lambda' \mathbf{Z}_{i,t,l} + \eta_{i,t,l}$$
(1)

- Variables standardized by stock and quarter (Buti et al. 2011; Hasbrouck and Saar 2013)
- 2SLS Estimation procedure
- ► X_{i,t} and Z_{i,t} contain market characteristics affecting (opaque) trading behaviour assumed : Volume_{i,t}, VisDepth_{i,t}, QSpread_{i,t}, Volat_{i,t}, AT_{i,t} and SORT_{i,t}
- Volume_{i,t}, VisDepth_{i,t}, QSpread_{i,t}, Volat_{i,t} are endogenous, and instrumented by the daily averages across the sample stocks excluding stock *i* and stocks in the same industry (Buti et al. 2011; Hasbrouck and Saar 2013; Degryse et al. 2014)

KU LEUVE

		Pan	el A: Consoli	dated Hidden	Order Trading			
	(1)	(2) Relative	(3) to <i>TotV</i>	(4)	(5)	(6) Relative	(7) to <i>LitV</i>	(8)
Volume _{Tot}	0.271*** (10.59)	0.302*** (13.78)		0.288*** (14.99)				
<i>Volume_{Lit}</i>	()	()		()	0.252*** (9.99)	0.285*** (13.14)		0.271*** (14.20)
Volat	0.029 (1.18)	-0.032 (-1.38)	0.244*** (12.73)		0.032 (1.36)	-0.031 (-1.36)	0.233*** (12.25)	~ /
<i>VisDepth_{Lit}</i>	-0.118**** (-5.17)	-0.140*** (-6.24)	-0.065*** (-2.78)	-0.124*** (-5.48)	-0.119**** (-5.20)	-0.142*** (-6.29)	-0.070*** (-2.99)	-0.125*** (-5.56)
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	-0.144*** (-5.97)	-0.151*** (-6.25)	-0.188*** (-7.54)	-0.132*** (-6.06)	-0.142*** (-5.96)	-0.150*** (-6.28)	-0.184*** (-7.43)	-0.129*** (-5.93)
AT _{Lit}	-0.131*** (-8.80)	. ,	-0.246*** (-25.24)	-0.126*** (-9.05)	-0.138*** (-9.34)	, ,	-0.245*** (-25.25)	-0.133*** (-9.57)
SORT	-0.078*** (-9.32)		-0.066*** (-7.73)	-0.078*** (-9.32)	-0.076*** (-9.09)		-0.065*** (-7.66)	-0.076*** (-9.08)
N R ²	17,416 0.123	17,416 0.102	17,416 0.076	17,416 0.125	17,416 0.119	17,416 0.097	17,416 0.075	17,416 0.121

	(1)
Volume _{Tot}	0.271***
Volat	(10.59)
VOIAL	(1.18)
<i>VisDepth_{Lit}</i>	-0.118***
	(-5.17)
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	-0.144***
	(-5.97)
AT _{Lit}	-0.131***
CODT	(-8.80)
SORT	-0.078***
	(-9.32)
N	17,416
R^2	0.123

	(1)
Volume _{Tot}	0.271***
	(10.59)
Volat	0.029
	(1.18)
<i>VisDepth_{Lit}</i>	-0.118***
	(-5.17)
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	-0.144***
	(-5.97)
AT _{Lit}	-0.131***
	(-8.80)
SORT	-0.078***
	(-9.32)
N	17,416
R∠	0.123

 (+) Execution probability of hidden orders increases with trading interest (volume)



Volume	(1) 0 271***
volume for	(10.59)
Volat	0.029
<i>VisDepth_{Lit}</i>	-0.118***
Qspreadu:+	(-5.17) -0 144***
	(-5.97)
AT _{Lit}	-0.131*** (-8.80)
SORT	-0.078***
	(-9.32)
N	17,416
R^2	0.123

- (+) Execution probability of hidden orders increases with trading interest (volume)
- (/) Volatility has no direct impact on hidden order volume



Volume _{Tot}	(1) 0.271***
Volat	(10.59)
	(1.18)
VisDepth _{Lit}	-0.118*** (-5.17)
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	-0.144*** (-5.97)
AT _{Lit}	-0.131*** (-8 80)
SORT	-0.078***
	(-9.32)
N R ²	17,416 0.123

- (+) Execution probability of hidden orders increases with trading interest (volume)
- (/) Volatility has no direct impact on hidden order volume
- (-) Visible depth reduces the execution probability of hidden orders

	(1)
Volume _{Tot}	0.271***
	(10.59)
Volat	0.029
	(1.18)
<i>VisDepth_{Lit}</i>	-0.118***
	(-5.17)
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	-0.144***
	(-5.97)
AT _{Lit}	-0.131***
	(-8.80)
SORT	-0.078***
	(-9.32)
Ν	17,416
R^2	0.123

- (+) Execution probability of hidden orders increases with trading interest (volume)
- (/) Volatility has no direct impact on hidden order volume
- (-) Visible depth reduces the execution probability of hidden orders
- (-) Wider spread reduces market order aggressiveness

KU LEUVEN

Volumo-	(1)
volumeTot	(10.59)
Volat	0.029
<i>VisDepth_{Lit}</i>	(1.18) -0.118***
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	(-5.17) -0.144***
47	(-5.97)
AT _{Lit}	-0.131*** (-8.80)
SORT	-0.078***
	(-9.32)
N	17,416
R^2	0.123

- (+) Execution probability of hidden orders increases with trading interest (volume)
- (/) Volatility has no direct impact on hidden order volume
- (-) Visible depth reduces the execution probability of hidden orders
- (-) Wider spread reduces market order aggressiveness
- (-) More algorithmic trading reduces hidden order executions



	(1)
<i>Volume_{Tot}</i>	0.271***
	(10.59)
Volat	0.029
	(1.18)
VisDepthLit	-0.118***
0	(-5.17)
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	-0.144***
	(-5.97)
Al _{Lit}	-0.131***
	(-8.80)
SORT	-0.078***
	(-9.32)
	17.110
N -2	17,416
R∸	0.123

- (+) Execution probability of hidden orders increases with trading interest (volume)
 - (/) Volatility has no direct impact on hidden order volume
- (-) Visible depth reduces the execution probability of hidden orders
- (-) Wider spread reduces market order aggressiveness
- (-) More algorithmic trading reduces hidden order executions
- (-) SORT reduces hidden order executions

KU LEUVEN

	Main	Alt
Volume	0.275***	0.000
	(8.71)	(0.00)
Volat	0.018	0.004
	(0.74)	(0.18)
VisDepth _l	-0.219***	-0.070**
	(-9.86)	(-2.43)
<i>VisDepth</i> _{I′≠1}	0.079***	-0.031
,	(3.31)	(-1.19)
Qspread _l	-0.068***	-0.120***
	(-3.01)	(-5.25)
AT ₁	-0.180***	-0.212***
	(-7.80)	(-11.44)
SORT	-0.054***	-0.107***
	(-6.47)	(-10.19)
Ν	15,564	15,564
R^2	0.139	0.050

	Main	Alt
Volume _l	0.275***	0.000
	(8.71)	(0.00)
Volat	0.018	0.004
	(0.74)	(0.18)
VisDepth	-0.219***	-0.070**
	(-9.86)	(-2.43)
<i>VisDepth</i> _{I′≠1}	0.079***	-0.031
, .	(3.31)	(-1.19)
Qspread ₁	-0.068***	-0.120***
	(-3.01)	(-5.25)
AT_{l}	-0.180***	-0.212***
	(-7.80)	(-11.44)
SORT	-0.054***	-0.107***
	(-6.47)	(-10.19)
Ν	15,564	15,564
R^2	0.139	0.050

 On alternative venues, hidden order executions are not affected by volume

	Main	Alt
Volume	0 275***	0.000
volumej	(8 71)	(0,00)
Volat	0.018	0.004
	(0.74)	(0.18)
VisDepth _l	-0.219***	-0.070**
	(-9.86)	(-2.43)
<i>VisDepth</i> _{I'≠1}	0.079***	-0.031
	(3.31)	(-1.19)
Qspread _l	-0.068***	-0.120***
	(-3.01)	(-5.25)
AT_{I}	-0.180***	-0.212***
	(-7.80)	(-11.44)
SORT	-0.054***	-0.107***
	(-6.47)	(-10.19)
Ν	15,564	15,564
R ²	0.139	0.050

- On alternative venues, hidden order executions are not affected by volume
- On the main listing exchange, visible depth from alternative venues reduces hidden order executions, but not the other way around

KU LEUVER

	Main	Alt
Volume _l	0.275***	0.000
	(8.71)	(0.00)
Volat	0.018	0.004
	(0.74)	(0.18)
VisDepth	-0.219***	-0.070**
	(-9.86)	(-2.43)
<i>VisDepth_{I'≠1}</i>	0.079***	-0.031
	(3.31)	(-1.19)
Qspread ₁	-0.068***	-0.120***
	(-3.01)	(-5.25)
AT_{I}	-0.180***	-0.212***
	(-7.80)	(-11.44)
SORT	-0.054***	-0.107***
	(-6.47)	(-10.19)
N	15 564	15 564
R^2	0.139	0.050

- On alternative venues, hidden order executions are not affected by volume
- On the main listing exchange, visible depth from alternative venues reduces hidden order executions, but not the other way around
- The other variables have a similar effect on the main listing exchange and alternative venues

KU LEUVER

$$\text{\%DarkV}_{i,t} = \gamma' \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \lambda' \mathbf{Z}_{i,t} + \nu_{i,t}$$
⁽²⁾

$$\text{\%BlockV}_{i,t} = \gamma' \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \lambda' \mathbf{Z}_{i,t} + \xi_{i,t}$$
(3)

- Variables standardized by stock and quarter (Buti et al. 2011; Hasbrouck and Saar 2013)
- 2SLS Estimation procedure
- ► X_{i,t} and Z_{i,t} contain market characteristics affecting (opaque) trading behaviour assumed : Volume_{i,t}, VisDepth_{i,t}, QSpread_{i,t}, Volat_{i,t}, AT_{i,t} and SORT_{i,t}
- Volume_{i,t}, VisDepth_{i,t}, QSpread_{i,t}, Volat_{i,t} are endogenous, and instrumented by the daily averages across the sample stocks excluding stock *i* and stocks in the same industry (Buti et al. 2011; Hasbrouck and Saar 2013; Degryse et al. 2014)

	(1)	(2) Dark V	(3) Volume	(4)	(5)	(6) Block	(7) Volume	(8)
Volume _{Tot}	-0.196***	-0.167***		-0.178***				
100	(-7.48)	(-7.42)		(-9.28)				
Volume _{Tot+Block}					0.359***	0.328***		0.148***
					(12.39)	(13.05)		(6.32)
Volat	0.030	-0.003	-0.126***		-0.307***	-0.277***	-0.088***	
	(1.23)	(-0.13)	(-6.84)		(-11.94)	(-11.26)	(-4.33)	
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.015	0.008	-0.023	0.009	-0.050**	-0.041*	0.022	0.019
	(0.72)	(0.39)	(-1.11)	(0.46)	(-2.28)	(-1.91)	(0.94)	(0.88)
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	0.021	0.013	0.053**	0.033	0.025	0.031	-0.031	-0.116***
	(0.92)	(0.59)	(2.34)	(1.63)	(1.02)	(1.31)	(-1.24)	(-5.45)
AT _{Lit}	-0.075***		0.009	-0.069***	0.073***		-0.058***	0.023
	(-5.20)		(0.94)	(-5.29)	(5.11)		(-5.77)	(1.54)
SORT	0.030***		0.022**	0.031***	-0.020**		-0.008	-0.023***
	(3.37)		(2.47)	(3.39)	(-2.52)		(-0.83)	(-2.66)
Ν	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416
R^2	-0.017	-0.016	0.000	-0.014	0.227	0.214	0.002	0.107

	David	Plack
	Dark	DIUCK
Volume _{Tot}	-0.196***	
	(-7.48)	
Volume _{Tot+Block}		0.359***
		(12.39)
Volat	0.030	-0.307***
	(1.23)	(-11.94)
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.015	-0.050**
	(0.72)	(-2.28)
Qspread _{Lit}	0.021	0.025
	(0.92)	(1.02)
AT _{Lit}	-0.075***	0.073***
	(-5.20)	(5.11)
SORT	0.030***	-0.020**
	(3.37)	(-2.52)
N	17,416	17,416
R^2	-0.017	0.227

(-) Dark trading decreases in trading volume(+) Block trading increases in volume

	Dark	Block
	0 100444	
Volume _{Tot}	-0.196***	
Volume-	(-1.40)	0 350***
Volume Tot+Block		(12.39)
Volat	0.030	-0.307***
	(1.23)	(-11.94)
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.015	-0.050**
	(0.72)	(-2.28)
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	0.021	0.025
AT.	(0.92)	(1.02)
ATLit	(-5.20)	(5 11)
SORT	0.030***	-0.020**
	(3.37)	(-2.52)
N	17,416	17,416
R^2	-0.017	0.227

	Dark	Block
Volume _{Tot}	-0.196*** (-7.48)	
Volume _{Tot+Block}	(0.359***
		(12.39)
Volat	0.030	-0.307***
	(1.23)	(-11.94)
VisDepthLit	0.015	-0.050**
	(0.72)	(-2.28)
Qspread _{Lit}	0.021	0.025
	(0.92)	(1.02)
AT _{Lit}	-0.075***	0.073***
	(-5.20)	(5.11)
SORT	0.030***	-0.020**
	(3.37)	(-2.52)
Ν	17,416	17,416
R^2	-0.017	0.227

(-) Dark trading decreases in trading volume

- (+) Block trading increases in volume
- (/) **Volatility** has no significant impact on dark trading

(-) Block trading strongly decreases in volatility

	Dark	Block
Volume _{Tot}	-0.196***	
Volume _{Tot+Block}	(1.10)	0.359***
		(12.39)
Volat	0.030	-0.307***
	(1.23)	(-11.94)
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.015	-0.050**
	(0.72)	(-2.28)
Qspread _{Lit}	0.021	0.025
	(0.92)	(1.02)
AT _{Lit}	-0.075***	0.073***
	(-5.20)	(5.11)
SORT	0.030***	-0.020**
	(3.37)	(-2.52)
N	17,416	17,416
R²	-0.017	0.227

- (-) Dark trading decreases in trading volume
- (+) Block trading increases in volume
- (/) **Volatility** has no significant impact on dark trading
- (-) Block trading strongly decreases in volatility
- (/) Visible depth has no significant impact on dark trading
- (-) Block trading decreases when the lit market becomes deeper

	Dark	Block
Volume _{Tot}	-0.196***	
Volume _{Tot+Block}	(1.10)	0.359***
for (block		(12.39)
Volat	0.030	-0.307***
	(1.23)	(-11.94)
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.015	-0.050**
	(0.72)	(-2.28)
Qspread _{Lit}	0.021	0.025
	(0.92)	(1.02)
AT _{Lit}	-0.075***	0.073***
	(-5.20)	(5.11)
SORT	0.030***	-0.020**
	(3.37)	(-2.52)
Ν	17 / 16	17 /16
R^2	-0.017	0.227

- (-) Dark trading decreases in trading volume
- (+) Block trading increases in volume
- (/) **Volatility** has no significant impact on dark trading
- (-) Block trading strongly decreases in volatility
- (/) **Visible depth** has no significant impact on dark trading
- (-) Block trading decreases when the lit market becomes deeper
- (/) The **quoted spread** does not impact trading off lit venues

No evidence that liquidity drives dark trading

	Dark	Block
Volume _{Tot}	-0.196***	
<i>Volume_{Tot+Block}</i>	(-7.40)	0.359***
Volat	0.030	(12.39) -0.307***
VisDepthLit	(1.23) 0.015	(-11.94) -0.050**
Qspread _{Lit}	(0.72) 0.021	(-2.28) 0.025
AT _{Lit}	(0.92) -0.075***	(1.02) 0.073***
SORT	(-5.20) 0.030***	(5.11)
	(3.37)	(-2.52)
N	17,416	17,416
R⁴	-0.017	0.227

(-) Dark trading decreases in trading volume

- (+) Block trading increases in volume
- (/) **Volatility** has no significant impact on dark trading

(-) Block trading strongly decreases in volatility

- (/) Visible depth has no significant impact on dark trading
- (-) Block trading decreases when the lit market becomes deeper
- (/) The **quoted spread** does not impact trading off lit venues

No evidence that liquidity drives dark trading

 (-) Dark trading decreases when there is more AT

(+) When AT is more heavy, so is block trading

KU LEUVER

	Dark	Block
Volume _{Tot}	-0.196***	
<i>Volume_{Tot+Block}</i>	(-7.40)	0.359***
Volat	0.030	-0.307***
VisDepth _{Lit}	(1.23) 0.015	(-11.94) -0.050**
Qspread _{Lit}	(0.72) 0.021	(-2.28) 0.025
AT _{Lit}	(0.92) -0.075***	(1.02) 0.073***
SORT	(-5.20) 0.030***	(5.11) -0.020**
	(3.37)	(-2.52)
N	17,416	17,416
R ²	-0.017	0.227

 $(-)\;\; \mathsf{Dark}\; \mathsf{trading}\; \mathsf{decreases}\; \mathsf{in}\; \mathbf{trading}\; \mathbf{volume}\;$

- (+) Block trading increases in volume
- (/) **Volatility** has no significant impact on dark trading

(-) Block trading strongly decreases in volatility

- (/) Visible depth has no significant impact on dark trading
- (-) Block trading decreases when the lit market becomes deeper
- (/) The **quoted spread** does not impact trading off lit venues

No evidence that liquidity drives dark trading

- (-) Dark trading decreases when there is more **AT**
- (+) When AT is more heavy, so is block trading
 (+) SORT usage increases volume on dark venues
 (+) Block trading is decreasing in SORT usage

Panel system of simultaneous equations

 $DarkV_{i,t} = \beta_{1,1}HidV_{i,t}^* + \beta_{1,2}VisV_{i,t}^* + \alpha_1DarkV_{i'\neq i,t} + \gamma_1'\mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \lambda_1'\mathbf{Z}_{i,t} + v_{i,t}$ $HidV_{i,t} = \beta_{2,1}DarkV_{i,t}^* + \beta_{2,2}VisV_{i,t}^* + \alpha_2HidV_{i'\neq i,t} + \gamma_2'\mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \lambda_2'\mathbf{Z}_{i,t} + \eta_{i,t} \quad (4)$ $VisV_{i,t} = \beta_{3,1}DarkV_{i,t}^* + \beta_{3,2}HidV_{i,t}^* + \alpha_3VisV_{i'\neq i,t} + \gamma_3'\mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \lambda_3'\mathbf{Z}_{i,t} + \omega_{i,t}$

- Variables standardized by stock and quarter
- 2SLS Estimation procedure
- The same $X_{i,t}$ and $Z_{i,t}$ as before, using the same instruments
- ▶ We interpret $\beta_{1,1}$ and $\beta_{2,1}$ as an indication whether both types of dark trading are complements or substitutes

	(1)				(2)			(3)	
	DarkV	ĤidV	VisV	DarkV	ĤidV	VisV	DarkV	ĤidV	VisV
Derla		0.042	0.041		0.027	0.017		0.042	0.020
Darkv		-0.043	-0.041		-0.037	-0.017		-0.043	-0.039
HidV	-0.127**	(-1.23)	-0.212***	-0.048	(-0.97)	-0.032	-0.140***	(-1.24)	-0.246***
	(-2.37)		(-3.29)	(-0.96)		(-0.62)	(-2.70)		(-3.83)
VisV	0.150***	0.175***		0.177***	0.229***		0.154***	0.174***	
	(3.58)	(4.88)		(4.29)	(6.61)		(3.73)	(4.89)	
Qspread _{Lit}	0.022	-0.004	0.053**	0.007	-0.025	0.022			
	(1.10)	(-0.22)	(2.57)	(0.34)	(-1.43)	(1.11)			
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.038*	0.018	0.068***	0.016	-0.023	0.017	0.029*	0.019	0.047***
	(1.91)	(0.99)	(3.38)	(0.82)	(-1.25)	(0.81)	(1.69)	(1.22)	(2.59)
Volat	0.129***	0.176***	0.282***	0.016	0.010	0.026	0.140***	0.175***	0.313***
	(5.77)	(8.70)	(11.82)	(0.82)	(0.55)	(1.31)	(6.62)	(8.76)	(14.33)
AT _{Lit}	-0.256***	-0.377***	-0.583***				-0.257***	-0.378***	-0.589***
	(-14.41)	(-20.25)	(-17.07)				(-14.40)	(-21.05)	(-17.07)
SORT	0.031***	-0.033***	0.037***				0.030***	-0.033***	0.035***
	(3.65)	(-4.92)	(4.59)				(3.55)	(-4.91)	(4.32)
$V_{i'\neq i}$	0.596***	0.542***	0.870***	0.604***	0.615***	0.919***	0.597***	0.543***	0.878***
	(23.04)	(14.99)	(22.51)	(23.47)	(16.40)	(22.67)	(23.01)	(15.32)	(22.71)
N	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416
R ²	0.185	0.465	0.404	0.172	0.347	0.238	0.183	0.465	0.395

		(1)			(2)			(3)	
	DarkV	ĤidV	VisV	DarkV	ĤidV	VisV	DarkV	HidV	VisV
DarkV		-0.043	-0.041		-0.037	-0.017		-0.043	-0.039
		(-1.23)	(-1.04)		(-0.97)	(-0.41)		(-1.24)	(-1.00)
HidV	-0.127**		-0.212***	-0.048		-0.032	-0.140***		-0.246***
	(-2.37)		(-3.29)	(-0.96)		(-0.62)	(-2.70)		(-3.83)
VisV	0.150***	0.175***		0.177***	0.229***		0.154***	0.174***	
0	(3.58)	(4.88)	0.050**	(4.29)	(6.61)	0.000	(3.73)	(4.89)	
Qspread _{Lit}	0.022	-0.004	0.053**	0.007	-0.025	0.022			
1/2-Daniel	(1.10)	(-0.22)	(2.57)	(0.34)	(-1.43)	(1.11)	0.000*	0.010	0.047***
VISDeptnLit	0.038*	0.018	(2.20)	0.010	-0.023	0.017	0.029*	(1.22)	(2 50)
Malat	(1.91)	(0.99)	(3.30)	(0.62)	(-1.25)	(0.01)	(1.09)	(1.22)	(2.39)
VOIAL	(5.77)	(9 70)	(11.92)	(0.010	(0.55)	(1.21)	(6.62)	(9.76)	(14.22)
AT	(3.77)	(0.70)	(11.02)	(0.82)	(0.55)	(1.51)	0.02)	(0.70)	(14.33)
ATLit	-0.250	(20.25)	(17.07)				-0.257	(21.05)	-0.569
SORT	0.031***	-0.033***	0.037***				0.030***	-0.033***	0.035***
00111	(3.65)	(-4.92)	(4.59)				(3.55)	(-4.91)	(4.32)
$V_{i' \neq i}$	0.596***	0.542***	0.870***	0.604***	0.615***	0.919***	0.597***	0.543***	0.878***
1 71	(23.04)	(14.99)	(22.51)	(23.47)	(16.40)	(22.67)	(23.01)	(15.32)	(22.71)
	. ,								
N	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416
R ²	0.185	0.465	0.404	0.172	0.347	0.238	0.183	0.465	0.395

	DarkV	(4)	1/2-1/	Derth	(5)	1/:-1/	Derth	(6)	
	Darkv	niav	VISV	Darkv	riav	VISV	Darkv	niav	
DarkV		-0.040	-0.033		-0.030	-0.024		-0.031	
HidV	-0.151*** (-2.92)	(1.17)	-0.263*** (-3.97)	-0.125** (-2.34)	(0.00)	-0.243*** (-3.07)	-0.166*** (-3.18)	(0.51)	
VisV	0.171*** (4.36)	0.182*** (5.35)	~ /	0.222*** (5.53)	0.272*** (8.92)	~ /	0.262*** (6.89)	0.296*** (10.43)	
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	0.005 (0.29)	-0.011 (-0.76)	0.025 (1.28)	0.075* ^{**} (4.02)	0.069*** (3.98)	0.200*** (8.92)		· · · ·	
<i>VisDepth_{Lit}</i>				0.012 (0.61)	-0.018 (-1.10)	0.012 (0.54)			
Volat	0.120*** (5.46)	0.170*** (8.94)	0.276*** (11.22)						
AT _{Lit}	-0.258*** (-14.43)	-0.373*** (-21.15)	-0.605*** (-17.18)	-0.236*** (-14.40)	-0.348*** (-20.07)	-0.631*** (-14.66)	-0.223*** (-14.43)	-0.322*** (-22.35)	
SORT	0.031*** (3.67)	-0.033*** (-4.89)	0.039*** (4.63)	0.031*** (3.70)	-0.033*** (-4.93)	0.044*** (4.73)	0.024*** (2.94)	-0.040*** (-6.23)	
$V_{i'\neq i}$	0.600*** (23.17)	0.536*** (15.43)	0.903*** (22.70)	0.602*** (23.27)	0.544*** (14.59)	1.028*** (19.00)	0.604*** (23.40)	0.530*** (14.83)	
N R ²	17,416 0.183	17,416 0.471	17,416 0.368	17,416 0.189	17,416 0.480	17,416 0.238	17,416 0.190	17,416 0.495	

KU LEUVEN

		(4)			(5)			(6)	_
	DarkV	HidV	VisV	DarkV	HidV	VisV	DarkV	HidV	
							-		
DarkV		-0.040	-0.033		-0.030	-0.024		-0.031	
		(-1.17)	(-0.82)		(-0.88)	(-0.54)		(-0.91)	
HidV	-0.151***		-0.263***	-0.125**		-0.243***	-0.166***		
	(-2.92)		(-3.97)	(-2.34)		(-3.07)	(-3.18)		
VisV	0.171***	0.182***		0.222***	0.272***		0.262***	0.296***	
	(4.36)	(5.35)		(5.53)	(8.92)		(6.89)	(10.43)	
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	0.005	-0.011	0.025	0.075***	0.069***	0.200***			
	(0.29)	(-0.76)	(1.28)	(4.02)	(3.98)	(8.92)			
<i>VisDepth_{Lit}</i>				0.012	-0.018	0.012			
				(0.61)	(-1.10)	(0.54)			
Volat	0.120***	0.170***	0.276***						
	(5.46)	(8.94)	(11.22)						
AT _{Lit}	-0.258***	-0.373***	-0.605***	-0.236***	-0.348***	-0.631***	-0.223***	-0.322***	
	(-14.43)	(-21.15)	(-17.18)	(-14.40)	(-20.07)	(-14.66)	(-14.43)	(-22.35)	
SORT	0.031***	-0.033***	0.039***	0.031***	-0.033***	0.044***	0.024***	-0.040***	
	(3.67)	(-4.89)	(4.63)	(3.70)	(-4.93)	(4.73)	(2.94)	(-6.23)	
$V_{i'\neq i}$	0.600***	0.536***	0.903***	0.602***	0.544***	1.028***	0.604***	0.530***	
	(23.17)	(15.43)	(22.70)	(23.27)	(14.59)	(19.00)	(23.40)	(14.83)	
N	17 416	17 /16	17 416	17 416	17 /16	17 /16	17 416	17 /16	
D2	11,410	17,410	11,410	17,410	11,410	11,410	17,410	17,410	
K-	0.183	0.471	0.368	0.189	0.480	0.238	0.190	0.495	

KU LEUVEN

	DarkV	(1) HidV	VisV
DarkV		-0.043	-0.041
		(-1.23)	(-1.04)
HidV	-0.127**	(-)	-0.212***
	(-2.37)		(-3.29)
VisV	0.150***	0.175***	
	(3.58)	(4.88)	
Qspread _{Lit}	0.022	-0.004	0.053**
	(1.10)	(-0.22)	(2.57)
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.038*	0.018	0.068***
	(1.91)	(0.99)	(3.38)
Volat	0.129***	0.176***	0.282***
	(5.77)	(8.70)	(11.82)
AT _{Lit}	-0.256***	-0.377***	-0.583***
	(-14.41)	(-20.25)	(-17.07)
SORT	0.031***	-0.033***	0.037***
	(3.65)	(-4.92)	(4.59)
$V_{i'\neq i}$	0.596***	0.542***	0.870***
	(23.04)	(14.99)	(22.51)
N	17,416	17,416	17,416
R ²	0.185	0.465	0.404



	DarkV	(1) HidV	VisV
DarkV		-0.043	-0.041
		(-1.23)	(-1.04)
HidV	-0.127**	. ,	-0.212***
	(-2.37)		(-3.29)
VisV	0.150***	0.175***	
	(3.58)	(4.88)	
Qspread _{1 it}	0.022	-0.004	0.053**
	(1.10)	(-0.22)	(2.57)
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.038*	0.018	0.068***
	(1.91)	(0.99)	(3.38)
Volat	0.129***	0.176***	0.282***
	(5.77)	(8.70)	(11.82)
AT _{Lit}	-0.256***	-0.377***	-0.583***
	(-14.41)	(-20.25)	(-17.07)
SORT	0.031***	-0.033***	0.037***
	(3.65)	(-4.92)	(4.59)
$V_{i'\neq i}$	0.596***	0.542***	0.870***
,	(23.04)	(14.99)	(22.51)
N	17,416	17,416	17,416
R ²	0.185	0.465	0.404

- Dark trading and hidden order trading are substitutes
- Specifically, orders on dark venues substitute for hidden orders
- Hidden orders are less likely substitutes for dark orders

	DarkV	(1) HidV	VisV
DarkV		-0.043	-0.041
		(-1.23)	(-1.04)
HidV	-0.127**	()	-0.212***
	(-2.37)		(-3.29)
VisV	0.150***	0.175***	(· · · /
	(3.58)	(4.88)	
Qspread _{1 it}	0.022	-0.004	0.053**
	(1.10)	(-0.22)	(2.57)
VisDepth _{1 it}	0.038 [*]	0.018	0.068***
	(1.91)	(0.99)	(3.38)
Volat	0.129***	0.176***	0.282***
	(5.77)	(8.70)	(11.82)
AT _{Lit}	-0.256***	-0.377***	-0.583***
	(-14.41)	(-20.25)	(-17.07)
SORT	0.031***	-0.033***	0.037***
	(3.65)	(-4.92)	(4.59)
$V_{i'\neq i}$	0.596***	0.542***	0.870***
<i>'</i>	(23.04)	(14.99)	(22.51)
N	17,416	17,416	17,416
R^2	0.185	0.465	0.404

- Dark trading and hidden order trading are substitutes
- Specifically, orders on dark venues substitute for hidden orders
- Hidden orders are less likely substitutes for dark orders
- Visible orders are also substitutes for hidden orders on lit venues

KU LEUVEN

	DarkV	(1) HidV	VisV
DarkV		-0.043	-0.041
HidV	-0.127**	(-1.23)	(-1.04) -0.212*** (-3.29)
VisV	0.150***	0.175***	(/
<i>Qspread_{Lit}</i>	0.022	-0.004	0.053**
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.038*	0.018	0.068***
Volat	0.129***	0.176***	0.282***
AT _{Lit}	(5.77) -0.256***	(8.70) -0.377***	(11.82) -0.583***
SORT	(-14.41) 0.031***	(-20.25) -0.033***	(-17.07) 0.037***
$V_{i'\neq i}$	(3.65) 0.596***	(-4.92) 0.542***	(4.59) 0.870***
	(23.04)	(14.99)	(22.51)
N R ²	17,416 0.185	17,416 0.465	17,416 0.404

- Dark trading and hidden order trading are substitutes
- Specifically, orders on dark venues substitute for hidden orders
- Hidden orders are less likely substitutes for dark orders
- Visible orders are also substitutes for hidden orders on lit venues
- Hidden order trading and dark trading are complementary to visible trading

KU LEUVER

DarkV	(i HidV	1) VieV	_
DarkV	HidV	Viel	
		VISV	BlockV
	-0.031	-0.016	*880.0
	(-0.83)	(-0.38)	(1.88)
-0.131**		-0.204***	-0.049
(-2.45)		(-3.18)	(-0.74)
).147***	0.176***		0.025
(3.51)	(4.93)		(0.50)
0.051	-0.038	-0.077**	
(1.21)	(-1.11)	(-1.97)	
0.023	-0.005	0.051**	-0.003
(1.16)	(-0.28)	(2.46)	(-0.14)
0.033 [*]	0.021	0.074***	0.049**
(1.66)	(1.14)	(3.63)	(2.25)
).129***	0.175***	0.279***	0.053**
(5.80)	(8.64)	$(11 \ 71)$	(2.25)
0 249***	-0 381***	-0 587***	-0 177***
(-13.06)	(-19.74)	(-17 11)	(-9.11)
031***	-0.034***	0.036***	-0.005
(3.67)	(-5.00)	(4 47)	(-0.56)
1 587***	0 544***	0.871***	0.578***
(21 57)	(14.88)	(22.50)	(18.63)
(21.37)	(17.00)	(22.50)	(10.03)
17.416	17.416	17.416	17.416
0.192	0.461	0.400	0.103
	0.131** (-2.45) 1.147*** (3.51) 0.051 (1.21) 0.023 (1.16) 0.033* (1.66) 0.249*** (-13.06) 0.31*** (3.67) 0.587*** (21.57) 17,416 0.192	$\begin{array}{c c} & -0.031 \\ (-0.83) \\ \hline \\ (-2.45) \\ 1.147^{***} \\ (3.51) \\ (3.51) \\ (0.51) \\ (-0.38) \\ (1.21) \\ (-1.11) \\ 0.023 \\ -0.005 \\ (1.16) \\ (-0.28) \\ 0.033^* \\ 0.021 \\ (1.66) \\ (1.14) \\ 0.175^{***} \\ (1.14) \\ 0.249^{***} \\ (-1.366) \\ (-19.74) \\ 0.034^{***} \\ (3.67) \\ (-5.00) \\ .587^{***} \\ (21.57) \\ (14.88) \\ 17,416 \\ 0.192 \\ 0.461 \\ \end{array}$	

Results are robust to including block volume

	(1)			
	DarkV	HidV	VisV	BlockV
DarkV		-0.031	-0.016	0.088*
		(-0.83)	(-0.38)	(1.88)
HidV	-0.131**		-0.204***	-0.049
	(-2.45)		(-3.18)	(-0.74)
VisV	0.147***	0.176***		0.025
	(3.51)	(4.93)		(0.50)
BlockV	0.051	-0.038	-0.077**	
	(1.21)	(-1.11)	(-1.97)	
Qspread _{Lit}	0.023	-0.005	0.051**	-0.003
	(1.16)	(-0.28)	(2.46)	(-0.14)
VisDepth _{Lit}	0.033*	0.021	0.074***	0.049**
	(1.66)	(1.14)	(3.63)	(2.25)
Volat	0.129***	0.175***	0.279***	0.053**
	(5.80)	(8.64)	(11.71)	(2.25)
AT _{Lit}	-0.249***	-0.381***	-0.587***	-0.177***
	(-13.06)	(-19.74)	(-17.11)	(-9.11)
SORT	0.031***	-0.034***	0.036***	-0.005
	(3.67)	(-5.00)	(4.47)	(-0.56)
$V_{i' \neq i}$	0.587***	0.544***	0.871***	0.578***
	(21.57)	(14.88)	(22.50)	(18.63)
Ν	17,416	17,416	17,416	17,416
R^2	0.192	0.461	0.400	0.103

- Results are robust to including block volume
- Visible trading can substitute for block trading
- Block trading is complementary to dark trading

Conclusion

- 1. We identify market characteristics that drive traders into using one or the other type of opaque order
 - Volume positively impacts hidden order trading, while dark trading is affected negatively
 - Visible depth and quoted spread negatively affect hidden order trading, but have no significant effect on dark trading
 - The use of **SORT** reduces hidden order trading, but increases dark trading
 - Algorithmic trading reduces both types of opaque trading
 - Volatility bears no relation to opaque trading
- 2. **Dark** trading substitutes for **hidden order** trading, but less so the other way around

It is questionable whether regulatory initiatives to curb dark trading can bring dark volumes back to the exchange, without harming some classes of investors who rely on dark venues

KU LEUVE

References I

- Aitken, Michael J., Henk Berkman, and Derek Mak. 2001. "The Use of Undisclosed Limit Orders on the Australian Stock Exchange". Journal of Banking and Finance 25 (8): 1589–1603.
- Bessembinder, Hendrik, Marios Panayides, and Kumar Venkataraman. 2009. "Hidden Liquidity: An Analysis of Order Exposure Strategies in Electronic Stock Markets". Journal of Financial Economics 94 (3): 361–383.
- Bloomfield, Robert, Maureen O'Hara, and Gideon Saar. 2014. "Hidden Liquidity: Some New Light on Dark Trading". Working Paper.
- Boulatov, Alex, and Thomas J. George. 2013. "Hidden and Displayed Liquidity in Securities Markets with Informed Liquidity Providers". Review of Financial Studies 26 (8): 2096–2137. eprint: http://rfs.oxfordjournals.org/content/26/8/2096.full.pdf+html.
- Brunnermeier, Markus K., and Lasse Heje Pedersen. 2005. "Predatory Trading". Journal of Finance 60 (4): 1825-1863.
- Buti, Sabrina, and Barbara Rindi. 2013. "Undisclosed Orders and Optimal Submission Strategies in a Limit Order Market". Journal of Financial Economics 109 (3): 797–812.
- Buti, Sabrina, Barbara Rindi, and Ingrid M. Werner. 2011. "Diving into Dark Pools". Working Paper.
- 2014. "Dark Pool Trading Strategies, Market Quality and Welfare". Working Paper.

Comerton-Forde, Carole, and Tālis J Putniņš. 2015. "Dark Trading and Price Discovery". Working Paper.

- De Winne, Rudy, and Catherine D'Hondt. 2007. "Hide-and-Seek in the Market: Placing and Detecting Hidden Orders". Review of Finance 11 (4): 663–692.
- Degryse, Hans, Frank de Jong, and Vincent van Kervel. 2014. "The Impact of Dark Trading and Visible Fragmentation on Market Quality". Review of Finance. eprint: http://rof.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2014/06/13/rof.rfu027.full.pdf+html.

Foley, Sean, Katya Malinova, and Andreas Park. 2013. "Dark Trading on Public Exchanges". Working Paper.

Foucault, Thierry. 1999. "Order Flow Composition and Trading Costs in a Dynamic Limit Order Market". Journal of Financial Markets 2 (2): 99-134.

Gozluklu, Arie. 2014. "Pre-trade Transparency and Informed Trading: Experimental Evidence on Undisclosed Orders". Working Paper.

Gresse, Carole. 2014. "Effects of Lit and Dark Market Fragmentation on Liquidity". Working Paper.

Harris, Lawrence. 1997. "Order Exposure and Parasitic Traders". Working Paper.

Hasbrouck, Joel, and Gideon Saar. 2013. "Low-latency trading". Journal of Financial Markets 16 (4): 646-679.

Hatheway, Frank, Amy Kwan, and Hui Zheng. 2014. "An Empirical Analysis of Market Segmentation on US Equities Markets". Working Paper.



References II

- Hautsch, Nikolaus, and Ruihong Huang. 2012. "On the Dark Side of the Market: Identifying and Analyzing Hidden Order Placements". Working Paper.
- Hendershott, Terrence, Charles M. Jones, and Albert J. Menkveld. 2011. "Does Algorithmic Trading Improve Liquidity?" Journal of Finance 66 (1): 1–33.
- Kervel, Vincent van. 2014. "Competition For Order Flow with Fast and Slow Traders". Working Paper.
- Moinas, Sophie. 2010. "Hidden Limit Orders and Liquidity in Order Driven Markets". Working Paper.
- Nimalendran, Mahendrarajah, and Sugata Ray. 2014. "Informational linkages between dark and lit trading venues". Journal of Financial Markets 17:230–261.
- Weaver, Daniel G. 2014. "The Trade-At Rule, Internalization, and Market Quality". Working Paper.
- Zhu, Haoxiang. 2014. "Do Dark Pools Harm Price Discovery?" Review of Financial Studies 27 (3): 747–789. eprint: http://rfs.oxfordjournals.org/content/27/3/747.full.pdf+html.





Thank You!

Geoffrey Tombeur

KU Leuven Faculty of Economics and Business Naamsestraat 69, 3000 Leuven, Belgium geoffrey.tombeur@kuleuven.be

