DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

DP12817

HEDGER OF LAST RESORT: EVIDENCE FROM BRAZILIAN FX INTERVENTIONS, LOCAL CREDIT AND GLOBAL FINANCIAL CYCLES

Rodrigo Barbone Gonzalez, Dmitry Khametshin, José Luis Peydró and Andrea Polo

FINANCIAL ECONOMICS and INTERNATIONAL MACROECONOMICS AND FINANCE



HEDGER OF LAST RESORT: EVIDENCE FROM BRAZILIAN FX INTERVENTIONS, LOCAL CREDIT AND GLOBAL FINANCIAL CYCLES

Rodrigo Barbone Gonzalez, Dmitry Khametshin, José Luis Peydró and Andrea Polo

Discussion Paper DP12817 Published 26 March 2018 Submitted 26 March 2018

Centre for Economic Policy Research
33 Great Sutton Street, London EC1V 0DX, UK
Tel: +44 (0)20 7183 8801
www.cepr.org

This Discussion Paper is issued under the auspices of the Centre's research programme in **FINANCIAL ECONOMICS and INTERNATIONAL MACROECONOMICS AND FINANCE**. Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of the Centre for Economic Policy Research. Research disseminated by CEPR may include views on policy, but the Centre itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Centre for Economic Policy Research was established in 1983 as an educational charity, to promote independent analysis and public discussion of open economies and the relations among them. It is pluralist and non-partisan, bringing economic research to bear on the analysis of medium- and long-run policy questions.

These Discussion Papers often represent preliminary or incomplete work, circulated to encourage discussion and comment. Citation and use of such a paper should take account of its provisional character.

Copyright: Rodrigo Barbone Gonzalez, Dmitry Khametshin, José Luis Peydró and Andrea Polo

HEDGER OF LAST RESORT: EVIDENCE FROM BRAZILIAN FX INTERVENTIONS, LOCAL CREDIT AND GLOBAL FINANCIAL CYCLES

Abstract

We analyze whether the global financial cycle (GFC) affects local credit supply and the real effects, and whether local unconventional policies can attenuate such spillovers. For identification, we exploit GFC shocks, differential bank reliance on global funding, local central bank interventions in FX derivatives, and three matched administrative registers in Brazil: the register of foreign credit flows to domestic banks, the credit register, and the matched employeremployee register. We show that after the announcement of US Quantitative Easing tapering by Bernanke in May 2013, which is associated with massive FX depreciation and increased volatility, domestic banks with larger foreign liabilities reduce the supply of credit to firms, in turn reducing employment. However, these negative effects are attenuated after the Central Bank of Brazil announces a large intervention in the FX derivatives market, which consists in supplying insurance against FX risks – hedger of last resort. In addition to these two subsequent shocks, we also analyze a panel over 2008-2015. Banks with larger foreign liabilities cut credit supply after US Dollar appreciation or after an increase of FX volatility (even for US FX changes with EMs excluding Brazil). Moreover, the FX effects on credit supply and real effects are mitigated after the FX intervention of the central bank, thereby confirming that the policy of hedger of last resort has been effective in decreasing local economy spillovers to global financial conditions. Our results have important implications for international macro-finance models and policy.

JEL Classification: E5, F3, G01, G21, G28

Keywords: foreign exchange, monetary policy, central bank, Bank credit, Hedging

Rodrigo Barbone Gonzalez - rodrigo.gonzalez@bcb.gov.br Banco Central do Brasil

Dmitry Khametshin - dmitry.khametshin@upf.edu *Universitat Pompeu Fabra*

José Luis Peydró - jose.peydro@upf.edu ICREA-UPF, Imperial College, CREI, Barcelona GSE and CEPR

Andrea Polo - andrea.polo@upf.edu
Universitat Pompeu Fabra & Barcelona GSE and CEPR

Acknowledgements

We thank Philippe Bacchetta, Fernando Broner, Julian Di Giovanni, Jordi Gali, Alberto Martin, Marco Pagano, Lorenzo Pandolfi, Loriana Pellizon, Giacomo Ponzetto, Jaume Ventura and seminar partecipants at CREI, CSEF-University of Naples II, EIEF, Frankfurt School of Management, Goethe University in Frankfurt, and the Advisory Scientific Committee of the European Systemic Risk Board for helpful comments and suggestions.